# **Harvard Research and Publications International**

December 31, 2021 Vol. 17 No. 2 ISSN: 0081 – 3998

## **JOURNAL OF AFRICAN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT**



DENSITY CHARACTERIZATION OF ROCKS WITHIN HAWUL, BORNO STATE, NORTH-EAST NIGERIA.

# SUNDAY DAVID NAJOJI<sup>1</sup>, MOHAMMED MOHAMMED YALWA<sup>2</sup>, AND ALIYU AHMED MALGWI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of General & Remedial Studies, Department of Basic Science, Federal Polytechnic Damaturu. <sup>2</sup>School of Science & Technology, Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Federal Polytechnic Damaturu.

### **Abstract**

In this research work, the density of rocks within the Hawul area of Borno State was investigated. The high-density rock may be due to the presence of heavy metals and radioactive elements

such as uranium which

Keywords: rock, density, mass, volume, heterogeneity.

can affect the environment

as well as plants and animals' health. A total

## INTRODUCTION

Density is an important property of any material which when measured accurately can help in interpreting a about the substance. It is an important physical property of rocks that can affect other physical properties and its importance to both geoscience and geoengineering cannot be overemphasized. According to Lin et al. (2015), density and porosity are fundamental and important physical properties of rocks in geological various problems and affect other physical properties. Therefore, measurements density and porosity of rock samples are important investigation items in both geoscience and geoengineering areas. Density and density

of twenty samples were collected from the hill (host rock) and other *locations in the vicinity* of the host rock. The method employed for density measurement is the direct mass volume where ten samples from each site were investigated of different rock classification. The data obtained were processed using statistical data analysis

and the result shows gabbro (mean  $\rho$ - 2.32  $\pm$ 0.72 g/cm³), basalt (mean  $\rho$ ~ 2.41 ± 0.29  $g/cm^3$ ), sandstone (mean  $\rho$  2.21 ± 0.59 g/cm³), granite (mean  $\rho \sim 2.27 \pm 0.61$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and shale (mean  $\rho$ - 2.50  $\pm 0.36$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>). These slightly vary as compared to the standard values for the types of rocks considered. Since the density of rocks varies

significantly among different rock types because of differences mineralogy and porosity, the knowledge of the distribution of underground rock density should be improved and encouraged to help in finding the subsurface geologic structure and rock type that would be essential for building and construction.

ontrasts of rocks control gravity anomalies and are an important physical property of geologic material which aids in the identification of rocks, estimation of ore abundances, and assessing rock conditions (Omosanya, 2012). Density measurements are extremely important because they provide a first-order indication of textural homogeneity, or heterogeneity, of the magma at the fragmentation level (Shea et al., 2012). According to **Ugwoke et al. (2018), there is** evidence that densities and durability can serve as relative indices in distinguishing different rock petrologic types.

There are various ways of measuring density such as by direct weighing (Archimedes principle) using specific gravity and direct mass-volume method. These methods are used depending on the state of the substance i.e. solid, liquid, or gas. For this research, the samples are in a solid-state. Density has been defined by many researchers as the ratio of the mass of a substance to the volume it occupies (Crawford, 2013; Najoji, 2017; Hellstrom et al, 2017). The equation is given by;

$$\rho = \frac{M}{V} \tag{1}$$

where  $\rho$  is the density, M is the mass and V is the volume of the substance. The fact that rocks are naturally formed solid minerals consisting of heavy and denser elements such as aluminum, zinc, copper, uranium, etc are elements that possess significant plant and animal risks in the Hawul area. The contamination of water by these elements can affect the rate of growth and water supply used by animals especially in shallow wells (Yalwa and Malgwi, 2010). The growth of plants may also be determined by the textural arrangement of the rocks. The high density of a rock may be due to the presence of heavy metals and radioactive elements such as uranium which also play an effective role in plant and animals' health as well as the environment. This research work will enable us to solve some of these problems.

Several works have been carried out on the density measurement of substances among which are the experimental study on measurement methods of bulk density and porosity of rock samples (Lin et al., 2015) where they observed that different measurement methods produced almost the same results for the bulk densities of the substance. Also, Geng et al. (2020) carried out the bulk density homogenization and impact initiation characteristics of porous PTFE/A1/W reactive material where they observed a density homogenization zone and a sensitivity transition zone. Among these studies, none has carried out the characterization of rock types within the Hawul area of Borno State as such this work became necessary. This work seeks to characterize the different rock types found within the Hawul area of Borno State.

## The study area

Borno state has a total landmass of 69,435 sq. km and lies between latitude 10°N to 13°N and longitude 12°E to 15°E. The greater part of the state lies in the Chad basin. It is bounded to the west by Yobe state, to the north by Niger and Chad republics, and to the East by Cameroon republic while the southern part is bounded by Adamawa and Gombe states. Hawul is one of the twenty-seven (27) local government areas of Borno state located in the southern part of the state. It shares boundaries with Biu, Shani, Kwaya Kusar, and Bayo local government area as well as Gombi local government area of Adamawa state. Hawul lies generally at an altitude of about 600 m

above sea level even though some areas might be lower. Temperatures that would be expected, generally high in the town with mean daily maximum temperatures ranging from 29.2°C in July and August to 37.6°C in March and April. The mean daily minimum temperature ranges from about 11.7°C in December and January to about 24.7°C in April and May (Joshua, 2015). Its *headquarters* are in the town of Azare. It has a population of 120,733 at the 2006 census (Wikipedia). The location map of the Hawul area is given in figure 1.




Figure 1. Location Map of Hawul Area of Borno State (**Source**: Google maps)

# Materials and Methods Sample Collection

A total of twenty (20) samples were collected ten (10) from the lower hills while the other ten (10) from around the hill using a chisel and hammer. Those from the lower hill were crushed and collected while those from the vicinity were randomly picked. The samples collected were labeled and kept separately in bags and taken to the Laboratory. This random collection was done to ensure that whatever is obtained as the result of our measurement represents the density of the whole of that particular hill.

#### Mass - Volume Measurements

The mass of each sample was obtained by placing the sample on a digital weighing balance and their corresponding readings displayed were recorded. The volume of the rock samples was obtained by immersing each sample inside a graduated beaker. The initial volume of the water in the beaker was noted and the final volume when each rock sample was immersed. The volume of water displaced by each sample was taken as the volume of each rock sample. By employing equation (1), the density of each rock sample was obtained.

## **Results and Discussion**

The densities of the host rock types of Hawul which include gabbro, basalt, and sandstone are given in table 1 while those within the vicinity which consist of granite and shale are given in table 2. The mean densities of the Hawul rocks and their standard deviations are given in table 3. The range in the densities of the rocks and their standard densities are shown in table 3 for the sake of comparism. The variation in the density of the specific rock types is an indication of heterogeneity in the mineralogy and porosity of the same rock types as such even the standard values are usually given in terms of range. Considering the different rock types, it is evident that because of the different mineral compositions of such rocks the density varies. Generally, even the mean density of the rock types of Hawul area slightly varies from the standard values as expected hence even the standard values are given as a range. Though the rock density values obtained in this work are still within the range of the standard values.

Table 1. Density of host rock, mean and standard deviation

S/N	General	Specific	Rock	Mean	Deviation	(Deviation) <sup>2</sup>	Variance	Standard
	Rock		Density	(g/cm³)			(g/cm <sup>9</sup>	3) Deviation
	Туре		$(g/cm^3)$					
1	Igneous	Gabbro	1.8125	2.320	-0.5075	0.257556	0.5157	0.7181
2			2.5833		0.2633	0.69326	38	
3			2.5642		0.2442	0.596336	}	
4		Basalt	2.7333	2.4116	0.3217	0.103490	0.0852	0.2919
5			2.0266		-0.3850	0.148225		

6	2.4750		0.0634	0.00402	20		
7	Sedimentary Sandstone 1.7625	2.2114	-0.4489	0.201511	0.3490	0.5908	
8	2.0500		-0.1614	0.26049	9		
9	2.5000		0.2886	0.8328	99		
10	2.5333		0.3219	0.103620			

Table 2. Density of vicinity rocks, mean and standard deviation

S/N	General	Specific	Rock	Mean	Deviation	(Deviation) <sup>2</sup>	Variance	Standard	
	Rock		Density	(g/cm³)			(g/cm³)	Deviation	
	Туре		(g/cm³)						
1	Igneous	Granite	3.2645	2.7232	0.5312	0.282173	0.3757	0.6129	
2			2.8833		0.1601	0.256320			
3			2.9125		0.1893	0.358345			
4			2.0809		-0.6423	0.412549			
5			2.4846		-0.2386	0.569299			
6	Sedimenta	ry Shale	2.6200	2.5052	0.1148	0.131790	0.1317	0.3629	
7			2.4285		-0.0767	0.005883			
8			2.4428		-0.0624	0.003894			
9			2.3571		-0.1481	0.219336			
10			2.6777		0.1725	0.297562			

Table 3. Mean density of Hawul rocks / standard density

S/N	Specific rock type	Mean Density	Range (g/cm³)	Standard density	
		(g/cm³)		(g/cm³)	
1	Gabbro	$2.32 \pm 0.72$	1.8125 - 2.5642	2.3 - 3.0	
2	Basalt	$2.41 \pm 0.2$	9 2.0266 -	2.5333 2.4 – 3.3	
3	Sandstone	2.21 ± 0.5	9 1.7625 – 2	2.5333 2.2 - 2.8	
4	Granite	2.27 ± 0.61	2.0809 - 3.2545	2.6 - 2.7	
5	Shale	2.50 ± 0.3	36 2.3571 –	2.6777 2.4 – 2.8	

## **Conclusion**

The densities of the different specific rock types within the Hawul area have been characterized. The mean densities of these rocks are as follows; gabbro (mean  $\rho \sim 2.32 \pm 0.72$  g/cm³), basalt (mean  $\rho \sim 2.41 \pm 0.29$  g/cm³), sandstone (mean  $\rho \sim 2.21 \pm 0.59$  g/cm³), granite (mean  $\rho \sim 2.27 \pm 0.61$  g/cm³) and shale (mean  $\rho \sim 2.50 \pm 0.36$  g/cm³). The densities of Hawul rocks as compared to the standard values could imply to have originated from the same parent material. Since the density of rocks varies significantly among different rock types because of differences in mineralogy and porosity, the

knowledge of the distribution of underground rock density should be improved and encouraged to help in finding the subsurface geologic structure and rock type that would be essential for building and construction.

#### References

- Crawford, K. M (2013). Determination of Bulk Density of Rock Core Using Standard Industry Methods. Master's report, Michigan Technological University. <a href="https://doi.org/10.37099/mtu.dc.etds/661">https://doi.org/10.37099/mtu.dc.etds/661</a>. 1.
- Geng, B; Wang, H; Yu, Q; Zheng, Y and Ge, C. (2020). Bulk Density Homogenization and Impact Initiation Characteristics of Porous PTFE/A1/W Reactive Materials. *Materials*. www.mdpi.com/journal/materials . **13**, 1 16.
- Hellstrom, K; Dioszegi, A and Diaconu, L (2017). A Broad Literature Review of Density Measurements of Liquid Cast Iron. *Metals*. www.mdpi.com/journal/metals. **7**(5), 165.
- Joshua, K. W (2015). Ground Water Quality Assessment: a Case Study of Ground Water From Hand Dug Wells in Hawul Local Government Area of Borno State. *International Journal of Advanced Research.* **3**(2), 537-546.
- Lin, W; Tadal, O; Takahashi, M; Sato, D; Hirose, T; Tanikawa, W; Hamada, Y; Hatakeda, K (2015). An Experimental Study on Measurement Methods of Bulk Density and Porosity of Rock Samples. *Journal of Geoscience and Environmental Protection*. **3**, 72-79.
- Najoji, S. D. (2017). Mechanical Characterization and Implication of Creep Behavoiur of Flexible Polyurethane Foams (FPF) on Seating Furniture Design. A Masters' Thesis submitted to the Department of Physics, School of Physical Sciences (SPS), Modibbo Adama University of Technology Yola. 16.
- Omosanya K. O; Mosuro G. O1; Laniyan T. A and Ogunleye D. (2012). Prediction of Gravity Anomaly from Calculated Densities of Rocks. *Advances in Applied Science Research*. **3** (4), 2059-2068.
- Thomas Shea & Lucia Gurioli & Bruce F. Houghton (2012). Transitions between fall phases and pyroclastic density currents during the AD 79 eruption at Vesuvius: building a transient conduit model from the textural and volatile record. *Bull Volcanol* **74**, 2363–2381.
- Sukhonl, R; Tulasombut, V; Laopornpichayanuwat, W; Pangviwat, T and Monchai Mitaree, M (2011). Measurement of the volume and density of weight by the hydrostatic weighing method. *Siam Physics Congress*. A Conference Paper presented at Physics for all, all for physics. 1 5.
- Tochukwu, A. S.; Ugwoke1, T. A. S; Okogbue, C. O and Maduka, R. I ((2018). Integrating Density and Durability in Assessing Rock Types: Case Study of Nigeria Oldest Sedimentary Basin. Aspects in Mining & Mineral Science 1(5), 1 5
- Yalwa, M. M and Malgwi, A. M (2010). Classification and Density Determination of Rock Samples: a Case Study of Hawul L. G. A. A project submitted to the Department of SLT, Federal Polytechnic Damaturu. 4.